

## maximising wildlife returns by minimising threats...

### Performance Indicators

Management performance in 2021		
Category	Performance	
1 Adequate staffing	3	6
2 Adequate expenditure	3	6
3 Audit attendance	3	6
4 NR management plan	3	6
5 Zonation	3	6
6 Leadership	3	6
7 Display of material	3	6
8 Event Book modules	3	6
9 Event Book quality	3	6
10 Compliance	3	6
11 Game census	1	3
12 Reporting & adaptive management	1	3
13 Law enforcement	3	6
14 Human Wildlife Conflict	1	3
15 Harvesting management	3	6
16 Sources of NR income	3	6
17 Benefits produced	3	6
18 Resource trends	1	3
19 Resource targets	1	3

**Key to performance indicators**




weak/bad	reasonable	good
----------	------------	------

Performance is assessed on a scoring system from zero (none) to a maximum of between 3 and 6 (strong/excellent) depending on the indicator.




Indicators 1-17 reflect the performance of the management team in place in the conservancy and an efficient team can achieve a good rating in all 17 indicators.

Indicators 18 & 19 are influenced by external factors and are not considered a reflection of conservancy management. They indicate the current status of wildlife in the conservancy in relation to a theoretical optimal situation.

### Human wildlife conflict



### Poaching



### Wildlife removals – quota use and value

Potential value estimates (N\$) for a single animal:	Species	Quota 2021			Animals actually used in 2021					Potential		
		Total	Trophy	Other Use	Trophy	Own Use & Premium	Shoot & Sell	Capture & Sale	Problem Animal	Total Use	Trophy Value N\$	Other use Value N\$
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Potential trophy value</b> - the average trophy value for that species in the conservancy landscape</li> </ul>	<b>Elephant*</b>	0.33	0.33								220,800	90,000
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>trophy values vary depending on trophy quality, international recognition of the hunting operator and the hunting area</li> </ul>												
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Potential other use value</b> - the average meat value for common species</li> </ul>												
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>or</li> <li>the average live sale value of each high value species (indicated with an *). High value species are never used for meat</li> </ul>												
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Fractions of animals indicate that a quota of 1 animal was awarded with conditions i.e.</li> <li>a) over a period of several years and/or</li> <li>b) is shared with other conservancies</li> </ul>												

Effective monitoring is key to understanding the status of wildlife in the conservancy and for the effective management of these resources.

Conservancies reduce environmental costs while increasing environmental returns. Returns from wildlife can far outweigh human wildlife conflict costs.

# monitoring numbers and trends for a healthy conservancy...

## Current wildlife numbers and status

Species	Animals Seen 2021	Estimated population range	Wildlife Status		
			Count Trend	National Guideline	Desired Status
Elephant				Yellow	
Gemsbok				Orange	
Giraffe				Orange	
Jackal				Yellow	
Klipspringer				Red	
Kudu				Orange	
Mtn. zebra				Red	
Ostrich				Yellow	
Springbok				Yellow	
Steenbok				Yellow	

*No counts undertaken*

**Desired Number** – gives the species status in the conservancy based on what the conservancy would like to have.


**dark green** (abundant) – reduce a lot;  
**light green** (common) – reduce a little;  
**yellow** (uncommon) – keep numbers the same;  
**light orange** (rare) – double numbers;  
**dark orange** (very rare) – more than double numbers.

**Wildlife status summary in 2021**


**Key to wildlife status**

extinct	very rare	rare	uncommon	common	abundant
Red	Dark Orange	Light Orange	Yellow	Light Green	Dark Green


## Wildlife introductions



## Wildlife mortalities





## Locally rare species



## Annual game count


Charts show the number of animals seen each year per 100 km driven during the game count. As a point of reference the dashed horizontal line represents the combined 10 year average in Palmwag and Etendeka concessions. Status flags reflect the general count trend over the last 5 years.

**Locally rare species** are not found very often in the conservancy and need special conservation attention.



## Predator monitoring

charts show the average number of animals seen per Event Book each year status barometers reflect the general sightings trend over the last 5 years



Wildlife provides a wide range of benefits. Some wildlife can cause conflicts, but all wildlife is of value to tourism, trophy hunting and a healthy environment.

By using all the available information and adapting and improving activities, threats such as human wildlife conflict, poaching and other issues can be minimised.

### Enabling wise conservancy governance...

#### Conservancy Statistics

Date Registered:	May 2012
Population (2011 census):	2170
Size (square kilometres):	1548
Registered members:	374

#### Key Compliance Requirements

Was an AGM held?	✓
Were elections held?	✓
Were benefits distributed according to the BDP?	✓
Is game managed according to the GMUP?	✓
Was the financial report presented and approved?	✓

#### Conservancy Governance

	Male	Female	Total
Number of management committee members	9	7	16
Attendance at AGM	36	40	76
Date of the last AGM:	11/09/2021		
Date of the next AGM:	Jun-22		
Other important issues			
Budget approved?	✓		
Work plan approved?	✓		
Annual conservancy report approved?	✓		

#### Benefit Distribution

Type	Description	Beneficiary	Number
Meat Distribution	Elephant And Lion Meat	Households	450
Other Benefits	Cash To Ta For Community Development	People	
	Hwsrc And Hwc Offset	People	103

#### Employment

	Male	Female	Total
Conservancy staff (Incl. CGG & CRM)	4	2	6
Number of Community Game Guards	3	2	5
Number of Community Resource Monitors			

#### Governance Performance Rating How well did the conservancy perform in the past year?

Performance Category	This Year	Prev. Year	Explanation of performance category
Member engagement	moderate	moderate	The conservancy is adequately engaging its members
Benefit planning	strong	weak	The conservancy developed its BDP in a transparent and participatory manner
Benefit distribution	weak	weak	The conservancy distributes benefits to its members in a fair, transparent and equitable manner
Accountability	moderate	strong	Conservancy members are holding the management committee accountable
Stakeholder engagement	strong	strong	The conservancy maintains relationships with key external stakeholders
Financial management	moderate	strong	The conservancy is effectively managing its finances

Colour codes:

none

weak

moderate

strong

exceptional

N/A