

maximising wildlife returns by minimising threats...

Performance Indicators

Management performance in 2023

Category	Performance
1 Adequate staffing	
2 Adequate expenditure	
3 Audit attendance	
4 NR management plan	
5 Zonation	
6 Leadership	
7 Display of material	
8 Event Book modules	
9 Event Book quality	
10 Compliance	
11 Game census	
12 Reporting & adaptive management	
13 Law enforcement	
14 Human Wildlife Conflict	
15 Harvesting management	
16 Sources of NR income	
17 Benefits produced	
18 Resource trends	
19 Resource targets	

Key to performance indicators

weak/bad	reasonable	good

Performance is assessed on a scoring system from zero (none) to a maximum of between 3 and 6 (strong/excellent) depending on the indicator.

Indicators 1-17 reflect the performance of the management team in place in the conservancy and an efficient team can achieve a good rating in all 17 indicators.

Indicators 18 & 19 are influenced by external factors and are not considered a reflection of conservancy management. They indicate the current status of wildlife in the conservancy in relation to a theoretical optimal situation.

Human wildlife conflict


Human wildlife conflict trend

the chart shows the total number of incidents each year, subdivided by species, grouped as herbivores and predators




Most troublesome problem animals 2021-2023

the chart shows the number of incidents per species for the last 3 years; the darkest bar (on the right) indicates the current year for each species



Type of damage by problem animals 2021-2023


the chart shows the number of incidents per category for the last 3 years; the darkest bar (on the right) indicates the current year for each type



Poaching

Number of incidents per year

Commercial poaching is a serious threat to conservancy benefits. The chart shows the number of incidents per category




Traps and firearms recovered

number of incidents per category



Arrests and convictions

number of incidents per category



Wildlife removals – quota use and value

Potential value estimates (N\$) for a single animal:

Potential trophy value - the average trophy value for that species in the conservancy landscape

trophy values vary depending on trophy quality, international recognition of the hunting operator and the hunting area

Potential other use value - the average meat value for common species

or

the average live sale value of each high value species (indicated with an *). High value species are never used for meat

Fractions of animals indicate that a quota of 1 animal was awarded with conditions i.e.

a) over a period of several years and/or

b) is shared with other conservancies

Species	Quota 2023			Animals actually used in 2023					Potential		
	Total	Trophy	Other Use	Trophy	Own Use & Premium	Shoot & Sell	Capture & Sale	Problem Animal	Total Use	Trophy Value N\$	Other use Value N\$
Eland	1	1		1					1	41,500	
Elephant	0.1	0.1								491,200	
Giraffe	1	1								45,300	
Hartmann's zebra	5	3	2	3					3	20,100	4,482
Kudu	1	1		1					1	44,000	
Leopard	1	1								130,000	
Lion	0.7	0.7									
Red Hartebeest				2					2		
Springbok	6	4	2	3	2				5	8,300	702

monitoring numbers and trends for a healthy conservancy...

Current wildlife numbers and status


Species	Animals Seen	Estimated population range	Wildlife Status	
			Count Trend	Landscape Status
Black-backed Jackal	2	18	light orange	yellow
Elephant	1	3	red	yellow
Gemsbok			red	red
Giraffe	23	46 - 68	red	yellow
Hartmann's zebra	59	199 - 428	green	light orange
Klipspringer			red	light orange
Kudu	10	40 - 124	yellow	light orange
Ostrich			red	light orange
Springbok	102	297 - 903	red	light orange
Steenbok	17	94 - 1217	green	light orange

Key to count trend


dark green – strong increase
light green – slight increase
yellow – more or less stable
light orange – slight decline
red – strong decline

Wildlife status summary is based on both the species trend and its status in the landscape


Wildlife status summary in 2023




Key to status




Wildlife introductions



Wildlife mortalities




Locally rare species




Annual game count

Charts show the number of animals seen each year per 100 km driven during the game count. As a point of reference the dashed horizontal line represents the combined 10 year average in Palmwag and Etendeka concessions. Status flags reflect the general count trend over the last 5 years.



Predator monitoring

charts show the average number of animals seen per Event Book each year
status barometers reflect the general sightings trend over the last 5 years



Wildlife provides a wide range of benefits. Some wildlife can cause conflicts, but all wildlife is of value to tourism, trophy hunting and a healthy environment.

By using all the available information and adapting and improving activities, threats such as human wildlife conflict, poaching and other issues can be minimised.

Enabling wise conservancy governance...

Conservancy Statistics

Date Registered:	January 2001
Population (2011 census):	1690
Size (square kilometres):	1980
Registered members:	493

Key Compliance Requirements

Was an AGM held?	✓
Were elections held?	N/A
Were benefits distributed according to the BDP?	✓
Is game managed according to the GMUP?	✓
Was the financial report presented and approved?	✓

Conservancy Governance

	Male	Female	Total
Number of management committee members	9	1	10
Attendance at AGM	128	124	252
Date of the last AGM:	22/07/2023		
Date of the next AGM:	Jul-24		
Other important issues			
Budget approved?	✓		
Work plan approved?	✓		
Annual conservancy report approved?	✓		

Benefit Distribution

Type	Description	Beneficiary	Number
Meat Distribution	Game Meat	Members	

Employment

	Male	Female	Total
Conservancy staff (Incl. CGG & CRM)	9	2	11
Number of Community Game Guards	11	2	9
Number of Community Resource Monitors	9	2	11

Governance Performance Rating

How well did the conservancy perform in the past year?

Performance Category	This Year	Prev. Year	Explanation of performance category
Member engagement			The conservancy is adequately engaging its members
Benefit planning			The conservancy developed its BDP in a transparent and participatory manner
Benefit distribution			The conservancy distributes benefits to its members in a fair, transparent and equitable manner
Accountability			Conservancy members are holding the management committee accountable
Stakeholder engagement			The conservancy maintains relationships with key external stakeholders
Financial management			The conservancy is effectively managing its finances

Colour codes: none weak moderate strong exceptional

N/A