maximising wildlife returns by minimising threats…

Performance Indicators

Management performance in 2022

Category	Performance		
1 Adequate staffing			
2 Adequate expenditure			
3 Audit attendance			
4 NR management plan			
5 Zonation			
6 Leadership			
7 Display of material			
8 Event Book modules			
9 Event Book quality			
10 Compliance			
11 Game census			
12 Reporting & adaptive management			
13 Law enforcement			
14 Human Wildlife Conflict			
15 Harvesting management			
16 Sources of NR income			
17 Benefits produced			
18 Resource trends			
19 Resource targets			

Key to performance indicators

weak/bad	reasonable	good

Performance is assessed on a scoring system from zero (none) to a maximum of between 3 and 6 (strong/excellent) depending on the indicator.

Indicators 1-17 reflect the performance of the management team in place in the conservancy and an efficient team can achieve a good rating in all 17 indicators.
Indicators 18 & 19 are influenced by external factors and are not considered a reflection of conservancy management. They indicate the current status of wildlife in the conservancy in relation to a theoretical optimal situation.

Human wildlife conflict

Human wildlife conflict trend
the chart shows the total number of incidents each year, subdivided by species, grouped as herbivores and predators

Legend:
- Lion
- Crocodile
- Leopard
- Hyaena/Wild dog/Jackal
- Elephant
- Hippo
- Pigs/Porcupine
- Antelope/baboon


Most troublesome problem animals 2020-2022
the chart shows the number of incidents per species for the last 3 years; the darkest bar (on the right) indicates the current year for each species

The most troublesome species in 2022 are on the left

The least troublesome species in 2022 are on the right


Type of damage by problem animals 2020-2022
the chart shows the number of incidents per category for the last 3 years; the darkest bar (on the right) indicates the current year for each type


Poaching

Number of incidents per year
Commercial poaching is a serious threat to conservancy benefits. The chart shows the number of incidents per category

Legend:
- Subsistence
- Commercial
- High Value

Traps and firearms recovered
number of incidents per category

Legend:
- Firearms recovered
- Traps/snares recovered

Arrests and convictions
number of incidents per category

Legend:
- Arrests
- Convictions

Wildlife removals – quota use and value

Potential value estimates (N$) for a single animal:

- **Potential trophy value** - the average trophy value for that species in the conservancy landscape

trophy values vary depending on trophy quality, international recognition of the hunting operator and the hunting area
----
- **Potential other use value** - the average meat value for common species

or

the average live sale value of each high value species (indicated with an *). High value species are never used for meat
----

Fractions of animals indicate that a quota of 1 animal was awarded with conditions i.e.
a) over a period of several years
and/or
b) is shared with other conservancies

Species	Quota 2022			Animals actually used in 2022						Potential	
	Total	Trophy	Other Use	Trophy	Own Use & Premium	Shoot & Sell	Capture & Sale	Problem Animal	Total Use	Trophy Value N$	Other use Value N$
Crocodile	4	1	3	1	2				3	30,000	
Duiker	7	2	5		3				3	8,300	189
Elephant*	4	2	2	2	1				4	491,200	85,860
Hippo	6	3	3		1				3	35,600	7,425
Impala	3	1	2		1				1	11,400	918
Kudu	6	3	3	1	1				2	44,000	3,483
Lechwe	6	6		3					3	21,000	
Reedbuck	1	1									
Sable*	0.33	0.33								120,800	
Warthog	5	1	4							8,900	540

Effective monitoring is key to understanding the status of wildlife in the conservancy and for the effective management of these resources.

Conservancies reduce environmental costs while increasing environmental returns. Returns from wildlife can far outweigh human wildlife conflict costs.

monitoring numbers and trends for a healthy conservancy…

Current wildlife numbers and status

Species	Animals Seen	Estimate	Wildlife Status	
			Count Trend	Landscape Status
B. Zebra				
Buffalo				
Duiker	3			
Elephant		2		
Giraffe				
Impala				
Kudu	5	22		
Roan		2		
Sable				
Steenbok				

Key to wildlife status

extinct	very rare	rare	uncommon	common	abundant

Key to count trend

dark green – strong increase
light green – slight increase
yellow – more or less stable
light orange – slight decline
dark orange – strong decline

Wildlife status summary is based on both the species trend and its status in the landscape

**Wildlife status summary in 2022**

Fixed route patrols

charts show the number of sightings of each species per fixed route foot patrol each year. Status flags reflect the general sightings trend over the last 5 years

**Flags**   decreasing   No change   No change, rarely recorded   Increasing

Kudu

Elephant

Buffalo

Roan

Burchell's Zebra

Wildlife introductions

Impala

Wildlife mortalities

Duiker   Hippo
Lechwe   Other

Number of Animals

Locally rare species

Locally rare and endangered species are not found very often in the conservancy and need special conservation attention.

Flowcomm, Flickr

Sightings indicator   2020   2021   2022

Vegetation monitoring

Change in bush cover since monitoring began

22%
22%
56%

Plots with more bush
Plots with same bush
Plots with less bush

Percent tree cover / average biomass per hectare

Percent

Kilogrammes per hectare

Tree cover (%)   Average biomass (Kg/ha)

Predator monitoring

charts show the average number of animals seen per Event Book each year. Status flags reflect the general sightings trend over the last 5 years

Wild Dog

Hyaena

Cheetah

Leopard

Lion

Wildlife provides a wide range of benefits. Some wildlife can cause conflicts, but all wildlife is of value to tourism, trophy hunting and a healthy environment.

By using all the available information and adapting and improving activities, threats such as human wildlife conflict, poaching and other issues can be minimised.

Kwandu
Institutional Report

Enabling wise conservancy governance…

Conservancy Statistics

Date Registered:	December 1999
Population (2011 census):	3520
Size (square kilometres):	190
Registered members:	

Key Compliance Requirements

Was an AGM held?	✔
Election requirement not specified	
Were benefits distributed according to the BDP?	✔
Is game managed according to the GMUP?	✘
Was the financial report presented and approved?	✔

Conservancy Governance

	Male	Female	Total
Number of management committee members	7	4	**11**
Attendance at AGM			**300**

Date of the last AGM:	**04/12/2022**
Date of the next AGM:	**December**
Other important issues	
Budget approved?	✘
Work plan approved?	✔
Annual conservancy report approved?	✔

Benefit Distribution

Type	Description	Beneficiary	Number
Meat Distribution	Game Meat	All Conservancy Villages	
Other Benefits	Hwc Offset & Crocodile Fence Construction	Households	68

Employment

	Male	Female	Total
Conservancy staff (Incl. CGG & CRM)	12	12	**24**
Number of Community Game Guards	7	7	**14**
Number of Community Resource Monitors			

Governance Performance Rating
How well did the conservancy perform in the past year?

Performance Category	This Year	Prev. Year	Explanation of performance category
Member engagement	moderate	moderate	The conservancy is adequately engaging its members
Benefit planning	weak	weak	The conservancy developed its BDP in a transparent and participatory manner
Benefit distribution	weak	weak	The conservancy distributes benefits to its members in a fair, transparent and equitable manner
Accountability	strong	strong	Conservancy members are holding the management committee accountable
Stakeholder engagement	exceptional	exceptional	The conservancy maintains relationships with key external stakeholders
Financial management	weak	weak	The conservancy is effectively managing its finances

Colour codes: none | weak | moderate | strong | exceptional | N/A