

maximising wildlife returns by minimising threats...

Performance Indicators

Management performance in 2022

Category	Performance
1 Adequate staffing	
2 Adequate expenditure	
3 Audit attendance	
4 NR management plan	
5 Zonation	
6 Leadership	
7 Display of material	
8 Event Book modules	
9 Event Book quality	
10 Compliance	
11 Game census	
12 Reporting & adaptive management	
13 Law enforcement	
14 Human Wildlife Conflict	
15 Harvesting management	
16 Sources of NR income	
17 Benefits produced	
18 Resource trends	
19 Resource targets	

Key to performance indicators

weak/bad	reasonable	good
----------	------------	------

Performance is assessed on a scoring system from zero (none) to a maximum of between 3 and 6 (strong/excellent) depending on the indicator.


Indicators 1-17 reflect the performance of the management team in place in the conservancy and an efficient team can achieve a good rating in all 17 indicators.

Indicators 18 & 19 are influenced by external factors and are not considered a reflection of conservancy management. They indicate the current status of wildlife in the conservancy in relation to a theoretical optimal situation.

Human wildlife conflict


Human wildlife conflict trend

the chart shows the total number of incidents each year, subdivided by species, grouped as herbivores and predators




Most troublesome problem animals 2020-2022

the chart shows the number of incidents per species for the last 3 years; the darkest bar (on the right) indicates the current year for each species



Type of damage by problem animals 2020-2022


the chart shows the number of incidents per category for the last 3 years; the darkest bar (on the right) indicates the current year for each type



Poaching

Number of incidents per year

Commercial poaching is a serious threat to conservancy benefits. The chart shows the number of incidents per category



Traps and firearms recovered

number of incidents per category



Arrests and convictions

number of incidents per category



Wildlife removals – quota use and value

Potential value estimates (N\$) for a single animal:

- Potential trophy value - the average trophy value for that species in the conservancy landscape

trophy values vary depending on trophy quality, international recognition of the hunting operator and the hunting area

- Potential other use value - the average meat value for common species

or

the average live sale value of each high value species (indicated with an *). High value species are never used for meat

Fractions of animals indicate that a quota of 1 animal was awarded with conditions i.e.

a) over a period of several years

and/or

b) is shared with other conservancies

Species	Quota 2022			Animals actually used in 2022					Potential		
	Total	Trophy	Other Use	Trophy	Own Use & Premium	Shoot & Sell	Capture & Sale	Problem Animal	Total Use	Trophy Value N\$	Other use Value N\$
Kudu	1		1								3,483
Springbok	2		2								702


monitoring numbers and trends for a healthy conservancy...

Current wildlife numbers and status

Species	Animals Seen 2022	Estimated population range	Wildlife Status	
			Count Trend	Landscape Status
Elephant				
Gemsbok				
Giraffe				
Jackal				
Klipspringer				
Kudu				
Mtn. zebra				
Ostrich				
Springbok				
Steenbok				

No counts undertaken

Key to wildlife status




Key to count trend


dark green – strong increase
light green – slight increase
yellow – more or less stable
light orange – slight decline
dark orange – strong decline

Wildlife status summary is based on both the species trend and its status in the landscape


Wildlife status summary in 2022




Wildlife introductions



Wildlife mortalities




Locally rare species



Annual game count





Charts show the number of animals seen each year per 100 km driven during the game count. As a point of reference the dashed horizontal line represents the combined 10 year average in Palmwag and Etendeka concessions. Status flags reflect the general count trend over the last 5 years.

Locally rare species are not found very often in the conservancy and need special conservation attention.



Predator monitoring

charts show the average number of animals seen per Event Book each year
status barometers reflect the general sightings trend over the last 5 years



Enabling wise conservancy governance...

Conservancy Statistics

Date Registered:	May 2012
Population (2011 census):	1370
Size (square kilometres):	656
Registered members:	430

Key Compliance Requirements

Was an AGM held?	✓
Were elections held?	N/A
Were benefits distributed according to the BDP?	✗
Is game managed according to the GMUP?	✗
Was the financial report presented and approved?	✓

Conservancy Governance

	Male	Female	Total
Number of management committee members	9	6	15
Attendance at AGM			
Date of the last AGM:	28/11/2022		
Date of the next AGM:	Jul-23		
Other important issues			
Budget approved?	✓		
Work plan approved?	✓		
Annual conservancy report approved?	✓		

Benefit Distribution

Type	Description	Beneficiary	Number
Community Devp.	Borehole Installation	Members	80
	Building Material For A School	School	1
	Casual Work To Members	Members	600
Other Benefits	Small Stock Donation To Members	Households	3

Employment

	Male	Female	Total
Conservancy staff (Incl. CGG & CRM)	7	0	7
Number of Community Game Guards	7	0	7
Number of Community Resource Monitors			

Governance Performance Rating

How well did the conservancy perform in the past year?

Performance Category	This Year	Prev. Year	Explanation of performance category
Member engagement			The conservancy is adequately engaging its members
Benefit planning			The conservancy developed its BDP in a transparent and participatory manner
Benefit distribution			The conservancy distributes benefits to its members in a fair, transparent and equitable manner
Accountability			Conservancy members are holding the management committee accountable
Stakeholder engagement			The conservancy maintains relationships with key external stakeholders
Financial management			The conservancy is effectively managing its finances

Colour codes: none weak moderate strong exceptional

N/A